

Inheritance of Polycomb-dependent chromosomal interactions in *Drosophila*

Frédéric Bantignies,¹ Charlotte Grimaud,¹ Sergey Lavrov,^{1,2} Mathieu Gabut,^{1,3} and Giacomo Cavalli^{1,4}

¹Institute of Human Genetics, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, 34396 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

Maintenance of cell identity is a complex task that involves multiple layers of regulation, acting at all levels of chromatin packaging, from nucleosomes to folding of chromosomal domains in the cell nucleus. Polycomb-group (PcG) and trithorax-group (trxG) proteins maintain memory of chromatin states through binding at *cis*-regulatory elements named PcG response elements or cellular memory modules. *Fab-7* is a well-defined cellular memory module involved in regulation of the homeotic gene *Abdominal-B* (*Abd-B*). In addition to its action in *cis*, we show here by three-dimensional FISH that the *Fab-7* element leads to association of transgenes with each other or with the endogenous *Fab-7*, even when inserted in different chromosomes. These long-distance interactions enhance PcG-mediated silencing. They depend on PcG proteins, on DNA sequence homology, and on developmental progression. Once long-distance pairing is abolished by removal of the endogenous *Fab-7*, the derepressed chromatin state induced at the transgene locus can be transmitted through meiosis into a large fraction of the progeny, even after reintroduction of the endogenous *Fab-7*. Strikingly, meiotic inheritance of the derepressed state involves loss of pairing between endogenous and transgenic *Fab-7*. This suggests that transmission of nuclear architecture through cell division might contribute to inheritance of chromatin states in eukaryotes.

[*Keywords*: Polycomb-group; nuclear architecture; chromosome pairing; chromatin/meiosis]

Supplemental material is available at <http://www.genesdev.org>.

Received April 22, 2003; revised version accepted July 14, 2003.

Packaging of eukaryotic DNA into chromatin is achieved through its folding in a hierarchy of structures, such as nucleosomes, 30-nm chromatin fibers, higher order chromatin structures, and chromosomal domains. Chromatin folding organizes individual chromosomes in specific chromosomal territories in the cell nucleus (Cremer and Cremer 2001). Individual chromosomal territories are separated by an interchromatin compartment that contains diffusible components, protein complexes, and larger structures or nuclear bodies. All of these compartments are dynamic and continuously exchange components, although the motion of large bodies or chromosomal territories is constrained (Chubb and Bickmore 2003).

It has been suggested that nuclear architecture might play a regulatory role in gene expression and cellular differentiation. In this view, genes would be segregated in specific nuclear domains and regulatory changes

might move these genes into different compartments. Evidence has accumulated for precise gene localization and for changes in their nuclear position upon regulatory transitions (Gasser 2001). In vertebrate cell types, transitions between active and silent transcriptional states were shown to be accompanied by shuttling between euchromatic and heterochromatic nuclear compartments (Brown et al. 1997; Francastel et al. 1999; Lundgren et al. 2000). Similarly, silencing of the *Drosophila* euchromatic *brown* gene by insertion of a 2-Mb block of heterochromatin (*bw^D* allele) has been shown to correlate with its relocation into heterochromatin (Csink and Henikoff 1996; Dernburg et al. 1996).

Gene silencing can be induced by two largely nonoverlapping sets of proteins, namely components of heterochromatin and proteins of the PcG. Whereas heterochromatin is mainly found at centromeric and telomeric regions of the genome, PcG proteins are involved in silencing of a variety of euchromatic genes involved in developmental control, such as homeotic genes (Orlando 2003). In early *Drosophila* embryonic development, homeotic gene regulation depends on a regulatory cascade of spatially restricted transcription factors. When these factors disappear, the expression pattern of homeotic genes is maintained by a cellular memory system dependent on PcG and trxG genes. PcG proteins form

Present addresses: ²DMGC, Institute of Molecular Genetics, RAS, 2, Kurchatov sq., Moscow, 123182, Russia; ³Institute of Molecular Genetics, CNRS, UMR-5535, 1919, Route de Mende, 34293 Montpellier, France.

⁴Corresponding author.

E-MAIL Giacomo.Cavalli@igh.cnrs.fr; FAX 33-499-61-99-01.

Article and publication are at <http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.269503>.

large multimeric complexes conserved throughout evolution (Alkema et al. 1997; Saurin et al. 2001) that act on chromatin via associated histone deacetylase and methyltransferase activities (for review, see Orlando 2003) and via components of the transcriptional machinery (Breiling et al. 2001; Saurin et al. 2001). On the other hand, several *trxG* members encode for proteins involved in chromatin remodeling, histone acetylation, and methylation, which increase chromatin accessibility to transcription factors (Petruk et al. 2001; Beisel et al. 2002). PcG and *trxG* proteins maintain regulatory states of their target genes via *cis*-regulatory elements named PcG response elements (PRE) and *trxG* response elements (TRE). (Fauvarque and Dura 1993; Chan et al. 1994; Zink and Paro 1995). These sequences often overlap in the genome (Strutt et al. 1997; Orlando et al. 1998; Tillib et al. 1999), and it was shown that they are able to maintain both silent and active states, depending on early regulatory cues during development. For this reason, they have been named cellular memory modules (CMM; Cavalli and Paro 1998; Maurange and Paro 2002; Rank et al. 2002). Beside an action as PRE and TRE, DNA sequences contained within CMM have also been shown to behave as chromatin insulators, preventing enhancers from acting at downstream promoters when inserted between them (Hagstrom et al. 1996; Zhou et al. 1996; Mihaly et al. 1997). Thus, multiple functional elements are contained in regions defined as CMM, and the molecular and functional links between these elements are still poorly understood.

In addition to an action in *cis* on flanking genes along the chromosome, CMM-mediated regulation is enhanced by *trans*-interactions among chromosomes. A *mini-white* eye color reporter gene flanked by PRE/CMM sequences is more repressed when the transgene is in a homozygous state than in a heterozygous state (Fauvarque and Dura 1993; Chan et al. 1994; Zink and Paro 1995). This phenomenon is known as pairing-sensitive repression (for review, see Kassis 2002). It depends on somatic pairing of homologous chromosomes, a phenomenon that exists in many species and cell types, but is particularly pronounced in Diptera.

Silencing can also be enhanced by insertion of two CMM copies at different loci in the same chromosome and even in different chromosomes (Sigrist and Pirrotta 1997; Muller et al. 1999). Moreover, PcG proteins were shown to be involved in cosuppression of transgenes containing sequences from the *white* and *Adh* loci, even if these genes do not contain CMM elements per se (Pal-Bhadra et al. 1997). These phenomena of *trans*-sensing of homologous sequences (Henikoff and Comai 1998) are found in many species and they may involve (1) communication via diffusible components, such as post-transcriptional mechanisms of gene silencing mediated by RNA molecules (Hannon 2002), or (2) direct contacts between the region of DNA homology. In this work, we provide evidence that the *Fab-7* CMM element from the Bithorax complex (BX-C) of homeotic genes can mediate long-distance interchromosomal interactions, and that these interactions may be heritable through mitotic and meiotic cell division.

Results






Pairing dependent silencing of the sd locus by Fab-7

In most experiments throughout this work, two constructs were used carrying a 3.6-kb *Fab-7* fragment cloned in two different orientations (p5F24 and p5F3 transgenes; Zink and Paro 1995; Table 1) upstream to *lacZ* and *mini-white* reporter genes. These transgenes were inserted at different genomic locations and combined with deletions or with mutations in PcG or *trxG* genes. For simplicity, transgenic lines will be named after the CMM element present in the transgene and the chromosomal arm of insertion of the transgenes. For instance, one previously published line, 5F24 25,2 (Zink and Paro 1995) will be renamed here as Fab-X, to indicate insertion of *Fab-7* in the X chromosome (Table 1). As expected for CMM-mediated silencing, pairing-sensitive repression is observed and the eye color of homozygous females is strongly variegated, whereas in heterozygous females or in males (hemizygous) repression is weaker (Fig. 1A). Precise mapping of the transgene insertion indicated that the Fab-X line harbors two copies of the p5F24 transgene inserted in tandem 9.6 kb upstream of the *scalloped* (*sd*) gene (see Materials and Methods for details). The *sd* gene product is required for wingblade development in *Drosophila* (Campbell et al. 1992). Reduced expression of this gene leads to a characteristic wing phenotype (*sd* phenotype) that can have a different degree of severity, from small lesions in the wing margin to complete destruction of wing morphology. The insertion of the *Fab-7* CMM at a distance of 18.4 kb from *sd* (8.8 kb DNA spanning the *lacZ* and *mini-white* regions plus 9.6 kb from the transgene insertion site to the *sd* promoter) induces a mutant phenotype, resulting in destruction of the wingblade. This phenotype is temperature sensitive and pairing dependent, as it is observed with a strong penetrance of up to 95% in homozygous females raised at 29°C, whereas it is almost absent in heterozygous females or hemizygous males (Fig. 1B). Both features are typical of PcG-mediated silencing and parallel effects on *mini-white* (Fauvarque and Dura 1993; Chan et al. 1994; Zink and Paro 1995). The incomplete penetrance of the *sd* phenotype does not depend on genetic heterogeneity of the flies, preventing silencing in a fraction of the population. When flies were raised at 29°C and Fab-X females with wild-type wings were selected and remated with Fab-X males, the next generation females showed a *sd* phenotype with similar penetrance as nonselected Fab-X females. Finally, as observed previously for the *white* eye phenotype in the Fab-X line (Cavalli and Paro 1999), the *sd* phenotype is strongly attenuated by mutations in PcG genes, whereas it is enhanced by a mutation in the *trx* gene (Fig. 1C).

Fab-7-mediated repression at the X-linked sd locus is dependent on the endogenous Fab-7 located on the third chromosome

Surprisingly, repression of *sd* depends also on the presence of an intact copy of the endogenous *Fab-7* element

Table 1. Transgenic lines used in this study

Genotype	name	Construct	Chromosome	Locus of insertion	Transgenic CMM	Endogenous <i>Fab-7</i> Chromosome 3R	Reference
5F24 25.2	Fab-X	 p5F24	X	13F (<i>scalloped</i>)	<i>Fab-7</i>	yes	Zink and Paro 1995
5F3 22,51	Fab-2L	 p5F3	2L	38F	<i>Fab-7</i>	yes	Zink and Paro 1995
5F24 21,17	Fab-3R	p5F24	3R	88E	<i>Fab-7</i>	yes	Zink and Paro 1995
U/15 1,1	LW-3R	 pU15	3R	93B	none	yes	Zink and Paro 1995
w#11.45	Mcp-2L	 w#11	2L	37E	<i>Mcp</i>	yes	Muller et al. 1999
PM5	bxd-2L	 PM	2L	29B	<i>bxd</i>	yes	Sigrist and Pirrotta 1997
5F24 25.2; <i>Fab7¹</i>	Fab-X; <i>Fab7¹</i>	p5F24	X	13F	<i>Fab-7</i>	no	This work
5F3 22,51; <i>Fab7¹</i>	Fab-2L; <i>Fab7¹</i>	p5F3	2L	38F	<i>Fab-7</i>	no	This work
5F24 25.2; 5F3 22,51; <i>Fab7¹</i>	Fab-X,2L; <i>Fab7¹</i>	p5F24; p5F3	X and 2L	13F; 38F	<i>Fab-7</i>	no	This work
5F24 25.2; 5F3 22,51	Fab-X,2L	p5F24; p5F3	X and 2L	13F; 38F	<i>Fab-7</i>	yes	This work
5F24 25.2; 5F24 21,17; <i>Fab7¹</i>	Fab-X,3R- <i>Fab7¹</i>	p5F24; p5F24	X and 3R	13F; 88E	<i>Fab-7</i>	no	This work
5F24 25.2; U/15 1,1; <i>Fab7¹</i>	Fab-X; LW-3R- <i>Fab7¹</i>	p5F24; pU15	X and 3R	13F; 93B	<i>Fab-7</i>	no	This work
5F24 25.2; w#11.45; <i>Fab7¹</i>	Fab-X; Mcp-2L; <i>Fab7¹</i>	p5F24; w#11	X and 2L	13F; 37E	<i>Fab-7</i> and <i>Mcp</i>	no	This work
5F24 25.2; PM5; <i>Fab7¹</i>	Fab-X; bxd-2L; <i>Fab7¹</i>	p5F24; PM	X and 2L	13F; 29B	<i>Fab-7</i> and <i>bxd</i>	no	This work

An empty rectangle represents the *Fab-7* CMM with a black circle indicating the proximal side of this element. *Mcp* and *bxd* are represented by a dashed and a gray rectangle, respectively. Thin and thick arrows represent the *lacZ* and the mini-*white* reporter genes.

in the *Abd-B* locus, located in the right arm of the third chromosome (chromosome 3R). A genomic deletion of 4 kb encompassing the 3.6-kb *Fab-7* transgenic element (Gyurkovics et al. 1990) was introduced in the homozygous state into the Fab-X line to give the Fab-X; *Fab-7¹* line (Table 1; Fig. 2A). When raised at 29°C, Fab-X; *Fab-7¹* females showed derepressed eye color and only 6%–12% of *sd* wing phenotype compared with 90%–95% of Fab-X females (Fig. 2A), suggesting that silencing of mini-*white* and *sd* is reduced. As a control, the presence of the *Fab-7* deletion had no effect on the wing phenotype of a mutant line for the *sd* gene, indicating that endogenous *Fab-7* does not play any role in *sd* regulation in the absence of the X-linked transgene (Fig. 2B). Moreover, a derepression both of mini-*white* and of *sd* was observed by introducing a homozygous *Fab-7¹²* deletion into Fab-X (13% *sd* phenotype, similar to the frequency observed in Fab-X; *Fab-7¹*). This mutation (Mihaly et al. 1997), deletes 1.5 Kb of DNA from the same region and has a similar effect on regulation of its endogenous target gene *Abd-B*, but it has an independent origin and genetic background from *Fab-7¹*. Therefore, derepression depends specifically on removal of *Fab-7*.

To further analyze the effect of the transgene and of the *Fab-7* deletion on *sd* expression, we performed in situ hybridization using a *sd* mRNA probe. The results indicate that transgenic *Fab-7* represses *sd* with increas-

ing strength during developmental progression. In Fab-X female embryos of stage 9–11, *sd* expression is weakly reduced compared with control *w¹¹¹⁸* and to Fab-X; *Fab-7¹* embryos (Fig. 2D). At later developmental stages, silencing is more robust, as shown by a strong reduction in *sd* expression in ~60% of the female wing imaginal discs from Fab-X third instar larvae (Fig. 2E). Both in embryos and in larvae, *sd* repression was lost upon deletion of endogenous *Fab-7* in the Fab-X; *Fab-7¹* line (Fig. 2D,E), although a weak residual silencing was visible in larval wing discs. Thus, *sd* silencing by the *Fab-7* transgene depends on the presence of the endogenous *Fab-7* of the BX-C at all stages of development. As expected, expression of *sd* was also restored in the context of a PcG gene mutation, *Polycomb-like* (*Pcl*; data not shown).

Long-range pairing between transgenic and endogenous *Fab-7*

These data suggest that the exogenous *Fab-7* at the *sd* locus may pair with the endogenous copy at the BX-C locus, and this pairing may be responsible for the strong silencing of the *sd* gene. To test this hypothesis directly, we analyzed the relative nuclear position of the two loci by two-color fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) of whole-mount embryos, followed by three-dimensional image analysis (Gemkow et al. 1996, 1998).




Figure 1. Pairing and PcG/trxG-dependent silencing mediated by the *Fab-7* CMM in the Fab-X line. (A) Eye color phenotype of Fab-X flies grown at 25°C shows pairing-sensitive repression at the transgene. Genotypes are indicated above each panel. (B) Wing phenotype of Fab-X flies shows pairing-sensitive repression of the *sd* gene-flanking transgene insertion. The percentage of wings showing a *sd* phenotype at 29°C, and the number of wings analyzed are indicated. (C) Dependence of *sd* silencing on mutations in the PcG genes *Pc*, *ph*, *Psc*, and *Pcl*, and enhancement of silencing upon mutation of the *trx* gene.

We used embryos at stage 9–11, a time when PcG-mediated *sd* gene silencing has already initiated. Image acquisition along the z-axis were done in ectodermal nuclei of parasegments (PS) 4 and 5 (this region of the embryo will give rise to the T2 segment and contains wing precursor cells). Using two probes specific for the *Abd-B* and the *sd* loci, we found that *sd* colocalizes only rarely with the BX-C in the wild-type situation. In wild-type female embryos, 7% pairing was observed (Fig. 3A–C). This frequency may depend on chromosomal movements occasionally bringing these two loci in the same nuclear neighborhood. On the other hand, *Fab-7* inserted at the *sd* locus strongly promotes association to the BX-C. The two loci colocalized in 23% of female embryonic nuclei (Fig. 3A–C; see also Supplemental Material). In contrast, colocalization is reduced to control levels in male embryos, correlating to the wing phenotype. To study whether association of the two loci depends on

DNA sequence homology conferred by the presence of *Fab-7*, we analyzed long-distance pairing upon removal of the endogenous *Fab-7*. In Fab-X; *Fab-7¹* female embryos, pairing was reduced to control wild-type level (Fig. 3A–C). These data show that long-distance interactions between *Fab-7* CMM elements can occur in the nucleus and they stabilize the efficiency of PcG-mediated silencing.

We then analyzed this phenomenon in the context of a *Pcl* null mutation (*Pcl¹⁰*). Homozygous *Pcl¹⁰* mutant embryos were obtained by selecting non-GFP-labeled embryos from a line carrying the *Fab-7* transgene and the *Pcl* mutation facing a balancer chromosome marked with a zygotically expressed GFP reporter gene. Association of the two loci was reduced to control levels in Fab-X; *Pcl¹⁰* embryos (Fig. 3B,D), showing that PcG proteins, in addition to sequence homology, are required for pairing.




Figure 2. Silencing of *sd* in the *Fab-X* line depends on the presence of the endogenous *Fab-7* element. (A) Schematic drawing illustrating the genotype of the lines used in the experiments. The two copies of chromosome X and chromosome 3 are shown. The endogenous *Fab-7* at chromosome 3 is shown in green. The *Fab-7¹* deletion is represented as an empty triangle. The transgenic copy inserted in chromosome X is shown in red. (B) *sd* phenotype in a loss-of function *sd* mutant in the presence or absence of endogenous *Fab-7*. (C) In situ hybridization of *sd* in embryos labeled with an anti-MSL-1 antibody to distinguish female (in blue) from male (in brown) embryos. (D) Repression of *sd* transcript levels in the *Fab-X* line (middle) compared with control *w¹¹¹⁸* (left) and with *Fab-X*, *Fab-7¹* (right) embryos. (E) Repression of *sd* transcript levels in third instar imaginal wing discs from the *Fab-X* line (middle) compared with control *w¹¹¹⁸* (left) and with *Fab-X*, *Fab-7¹* (right) larvae. *sd* is normally expressed in the wing pouch of the imaginal disc and it is repressed in *Fab-X*, but not in *Fab-X*, *Fab-7¹* larvae.

It was reported recently that endogenous *Fab-7* is transcribed in embryonic PS13 and PS14 (Rank et al. 2002). We confirmed this data by in situ hybridization using a *Fab-7* probe and a comparison between wild-type and *Fab-X* embryos showed no difference in the staining pattern or intensity. The pairing frequency of *sd* with the

BX-C was compared in PS4/PS5, in which endogenous *Fab-7* is not transcribed, and in PS13/PS14, in which it is transcribed, but no difference was observed (Fig. 3C). We also tested whether genetic background has an influence on long-distance pairing. Both the transgenic lines and the *Fab-7¹* deletion were originally obtained in a Or-




Figure 3. Long-range interactions between *sd* and the BX-C depend on sequence homology at *Fab-7* and on the presence of PCL protein. (A) Two-color FISH in whole-mount female embryos. Examples of merged images of Dapi labeling (blue), the *sd* locus (green) and the BX-C (red) are shown. Projection of two Z-axis slices is shown. Embryo genotypes are indicated above each panel. Arrows indicate cases of colocalization between *sd* and the BX-C. (B) Single slices of individual nuclei show characteristic examples of data obtained in different lines. Dapi staining, the *sd* locus, the BX-C locus, and the merge of the three channels are shown. The genotypes and the presence of the endogenous or the transgenic *Fab-7* copies are indicated. (C) Quantification of the percentage of colocalization of the two loci in stage 9–11 female embryos from different lines. The genotypes and the embryonic position of the analyzed nuclei are indicated below each bar. Error bars represent the standard deviation. At least 50 nuclei per embryo from four to six embryos were analyzed in each experiment. (D) Same quantification as C, but from an independent experiment comparing female *Fab-X* embryos with mutant *Fab-X; Pcl¹⁰* embryos analyzed at stage 13–15 of development. (E) Quantification of long-distance *Fab-7* pairing in third instar larval wing imaginal discs, measured as percentage of colocalization of the two loci in the different lines.

egon-R background. When this was changed to Canton-S (see Materials and Methods), both the *sd* phenotype and the strong increase in pairing between the *sd* and the BX-C loci were maintained in the presence of *Fab-7* at the two loci (data not shown). Thus, transcription of the endogenous *Fab-7* and strain-specific genetic variation have no major effects on pairing.

Long-distance Fab-7 pairing progression during development

Because in situ RNA hybridization showed that repression of *sd* in Fab-X is stronger in larvae compared with embryos, we analyzed whether the pairing efficiency of the BX-C with the *sd* locus is also increased at this stage. Pairing was analyzed by two-color FISH in imaginal wing discs from third instar female larvae (Fig. 3E). Control larvae showed pairing in only 5% of the cases, similar to what was seen previously in embryos (Fig. 3E). In contrast, in the Fab-X line association between the BX-C and the *sd* locus was found in 43% of the nuclei, a much higher frequency compared with embryos (Fig. 3, cf. E and C). This indicates that long-distance pairing is a dynamic process and its stability increases during later developmental stages. Long-distance pairing was severely reduced in larvae from the Fab-X; *Fab-7*¹ line, in which it reached 14%. However, this frequency is clearly higher than in the wild-type control. This suggests that, although sequence homology at *Fab-7* is crucial for pairing, the transgenic *Fab-7* might be able to establish interactions with other CMM in the BX-C to some extent.

Endogenous Fab-7 cosegregates in the cell nucleus with Fab-7 transgenes inserted at independent chromosomal loci

The *Fab-7*-dependent associations observed between the BX-C and the *sd* locus could be partly due to some specific features of one or the two loci. To test whether ectopic pairing depends on insertion of *Fab-7* at the *sd* locus, we used the Fab-2L transgenic line (Zink and Paro 1995). Characterization of this line (see Materials and Methods) showed that the p5F3 *Fab-7* construct is inserted in single copy at cytological position 38F, in the left arm of chromosome 2 (Table 1, for simplicity, this locus will be defined hereafter as 38F). Two-color FISH analysis with probes that recognize the 38F and BX-C loci showed a very strong increase in colocalization when *Fab-7* is present at both loci (Fab-2L embryos) compared with wild-type embryos harboring no *Fab-7* transgene at the 38F locus (11% vs. 0.7%; Fig. 4A,B). Thus, *Fab-7* transgenes inserted at two independent loci can pair with the endogenous *Fab-7* copy. To describe precisely the statistical distribution of the two loci, three-dimensional distances were measured in >200 nuclei of each sample. A global decrease in the three-dimensional distance was observed when *Fab-7* is inserted at the 38F locus (Fig. 4B). This suggests that in addition to direct contacts, in a large fraction of the nuclei, the two loci are

in close proximity. These nuclei may represent intermediate steps in the process of *Fab-7* homology search.

Pairing of Fab-7 elements does not depend on features of the BX-C

We then investigated whether pairing depends on specific features of the BX-C, or instead represents pure affinity between homologous *Fab-7* DNA sequences and chromatin structures. We reasoned that if pairing does not depend on specific features of the BX-C locus, strong *sd* silencing might be obtained by introduction of a second *Fab-7* transgene in the absence of endogenous *Fab-7*. Therefore, we combined other *Fab-7* transgene insertions with the *Fab-7* transgene inserted at the *sd* locus. A *Fab-7*¹ deletion was first introduced into two transgenic lines. One was the Fab-2L line described above, whereas in the Fab-3R line, the p5F24 transgene is inserted at 88E in the right arm of the third chromosome (Table 1). These two lines were then crossed to the Fab-X line to obtain lines carrying two homozygous *Fab-7* transgenes and the *Fab-7*¹ deletion; Fab-X,2L; *Fab-7*¹ and Fab-X,3R-*Fab-7*¹. As noted previously, deletion of endogenous *Fab-7* leads to derepression of the *sd* gene in the Fab-X, *Fab-7*¹ line (Figs. 2A, 5A). However, the transgenic *Fab-7* elements at chromosome 2L or 3R were able to complement the absence of endogenous *Fab-7* and restore *sd* silencing (Fig. 5A). *Fab-7* silencing was copy-number dependent. Indeed, we found that silencing of *sd* and of *mini-white* in the Fab-X,2L; *Fab-7*¹ line was weaker than in the related line Fab-X,2L, which carries the same transgenes in the presence of the endogenous copy of *Fab-7*.

In contrast, Fab-X,LW-3R-*Fab-7*¹ transgenic line (Table 1), carrying a second transgene without *Fab-7* (Zink and Paro 1995), did not restore *sd* silencing (Fig. 5A), suggesting that silencing by multiple transgene copies requires the presence of *Fab-7* in all transgenes. Two other CMM of the BX-C, such as *Mcp* and *bxd*, were also tested. *bxd* failed to complement the deletion of endogenous *Fab-7*, whereas a *Mcp* transgene had a weak effect, restoring *sd* silencing in 33% of the flies (Fig. 5A). Altogether, these results indicate that sequence homology at *Fab-7* is the main determinant of long-distance interactions in our transgenic lines, although in the natural situation, interactions among heterologous CMM may occur.

To study whether two independent *Fab-7* transgenes interact directly, we performed two-color FISH experiments in the Fab-X,2L; *Fab-7*¹ line, which carries two *Fab-7* transgenes and no endogenous copy. As controls, we analyzed the single transgenic lines Fab-X; *Fab-7*¹ and Fab-2L; *Fab-7*¹ (Table 1), carrying *Fab-7* at either of the two loci (Fig. 5B). For FISH, we used probes directed to the two loci of insertion, and we measured three-dimensional distances between them in the three lines. A dramatic difference was observed between control lines and the line containing *Fab-7* at both loci (Fig. 5C). The two loci are much closer when they both carry *Fab-7* than when they do not. Therefore, long-distance pairing can be mediated by *Fab-7* alone, independent from its localization within the BX-C.




Figure 4. Long-range interactions between a *Fab-7* transgene inserted at chromosome 2L and the BX-C. (A) Comparison of FISH signals from control *w¹¹¹⁸* embryos and Fab-2L embryos at stage 9–11. (B) Quantitative analysis of the distribution of three-dimensional distances between the two loci in control *w¹¹¹⁸* (brown bars) and Fab-2L (yellow bars) embryos. In the 0–0.5 μm distance range, two classes were distinguished, one class in which the two signals colocalized (11.0% for Fab-2L and 0.7% for *w¹¹¹⁸*, indicated by ticks within the bars), whereas in the other class, the two signals were close, but nonoverlapping.

Pairing-dependent meiotic inheritance of *Fab-7* chromatin states

It was reported previously that the *Fab-7* element is not only able to convey memory of silencing, but also of derepressed chromatin states. A strong derepression from silencing induced in *Fab-7* transgenes by the yeast GAL4 factor could be inherited not only during development, but also through female meiosis into subsequent fly generations (Cavalli and Paro 1998). Because we observed loss of silencing upon unpairing of *Fab-7* homologous elements, we tested whether derepressed states induced by unpairing could be inherited through meiosis. First, we generated Fab-2L flies containing a homozygous p5F3 transgene in the context of a heterozygous deletion of endogenous *Fab-7* (F1 generation). The *mini-white* reporter gene was derepressed in these flies compared with a Fab-2L control. This was most evident at 18°C, a temperature at which PcG-mediated silencing is weaker (Fig. 6B). Then, the endogenous *Fab-7* sequence was reintroduced by back-crossing the F1 flies in order

to obtain F2 generation flies with a genotype equivalent to the Fab-2L F0 generation. Surprisingly, however, the derepressed *mini-white* state was maintained through meiosis in the F2 generation. Derepression was also maintained into the F3 generation upon recrossing derepressed F2 flies (Fig. 6A). Continued recrossing (at 18°C) showed maintenance of the derepressed state through at least 5 generations, showing that maintenance of derepressed chromatin is stable. Meiotic inheritance of the derepressed chromatin state was observed both from derepressed parental males and females, although maintenance was stronger through the female germ line (Fig. 6B). To quantify the fraction of flies that showed maintenance, we took advantage of a strong derepression that was observed in a subset of the flies. When these strongly derepressed (red eyed) flies were intercrossed, up to 60% of the progeny was still strongly derepressed, showing that inheritance can be robust. Maintenance of derepression is a reversible phenomenon, however. We reasoned that if one could boost PcG-dependent silencing in flies carrying a derepressed *Fab-7* transgene, this may reset




Figure 5. Association between transgenes carrying *Fab-7*. (A) In *Fab-X*; *Fab-7¹* females, deletion of the endogenous *Fab-7* derepresses *sd* (top, left). Strong repression is induced by introduction of a second *Fab-7* transgene either in chromosome 2L (top, middle) or in chromosome 3R (top, right). Conversely, no repression is observed in the presence of the control pU/15 transgene without CMM (bottom, left), or in the presence of a transgene carrying the *bx-d* CMM (bottom, right). *sd* is slightly repressed upon introduction of a second transgene containing the *Mcp* CMM (bottom, middle). (B) Two-color FISH in female embryos carrying two *Fab-7* transgenes, in the *Fab-X,2L*; *Fab-7¹* line (top). Control female embryos are shown, carrying only one source of *Fab-7*, either at the *sd* locus (middle) or at the *38F* locus in chromosome 2L (bottom). (C) Quantitative analysis of the distribution of three-dimensional distances between the two loci in control lines *Fab-X*; *Fab-7¹* (blue bars) and *Fab-2L*; *Fab-7¹* (brown bars) vs. the *Fab-X,2L*; *Fab-7¹* line (yellow bars).

the chromatin state and restore the original level of silencing. Thus, strongly derepressed (fully red eyed) flies from the F4 generation were transferred to lay eggs from 18°C to 29°C. Because PcG-mediated silencing is stron-

ger at higher temperatures, a decrease in maintenance of the derepressed state would be predicted in the following generation if maintenance of derepressed chromatin is reversible. Very strong silencing of *mini-white* was re-




Figure 6. Meiotic inheritance of chromatin states. (A) Inheritance of derepressed chromatin states in the Fab-2L line. Expression of the *white* gene is silenced in Fab-2L flies (F0). These flies were crossed with Fab-2L; *Fab-7*¹ in order to obtain a F1 progeny homozygous for the transgene and heterozygous for the endogenous *Fab-7* copy. Females of this F1 generation were crossed with Fab-2L males. In the F2 generation, 50% of the progeny [identified by the absence of abdominal phenotypes (Gyurkovics et al. 1990) induced by the endogenous deletion of *Fab-7*] was genetically equivalent to the F0 (Fab-2L) generation, but was still derepressed. Derepression was maintained upon re-crossing F2 Fab-2L flies (F3 generation), in a F1 progeny homozygous for the transgene and heterozygous for the endogenous *Fab-7* copy (Fab-2L; *Fab-7*^{1/+}), and in Fab-2L flies of the F2 generation obtained from a cross of Fab-2L; *Fab-7*^{1/+} females with Fab-2L males (F2 via female) or from the reciprocal cross (F2 via male). (B) Quantitation of eye pigment levels in control Fab-2L flies (F0 generation), in a F1 progeny homozygous for the transgene and heterozygous for the endogenous *Fab-7* copy (Fab-2L; *Fab-7*^{1/+}), and in Fab-2L flies of the F2 generation obtained from a cross of Fab-2L; *Fab-7*^{1/+} females with Fab-2L males (F2 via female) or from the reciprocal cross (F2 via male). (C) Inheritance of chromatin states in the Fab-X line. A similar crossing scheme was applied as in A and silencing of *sd* was analyzed. Genotypes, percentages of wings showing a *sd* phenotype, and numbers of wings analyzed are indicated. Two independent experiments are shown. *sd* derepression is inherited in F2 and F3 generation flies. (D) Two-color FISH in Fab-X F3 female embryos layed from F2 generation derepressed Fab-X flies (bottom), or from control Fab-X female embryos (top). (E) Quantification of the percentage of colocalization between the two loci in control Fab-X embryos (see also Fig. 3C for an independent experiment in the same condition), and Fab-X F3 embryos.

stored in 95% of the F5 progeny. Upon shift back to 18°C, subsequent generations showed strong mini-*white* silencing that was indistinguishable from the original line. This shows that inheritance of the derepressed state is a reversible process that depends on epigenetic determinants.

The same experimental scheme was then repeated

with the Fab-X line containing the *Fab-7* element at the *sd* locus. Again, significant *sd* derepression was obtained upon removal of one endogenous *Fab-7* in Fab-X F1 flies. The endogenous *Fab-7* was reintroduced by back-crossing to obtain F2 flies of the same genotype as the Fab-X grandparents. Derepression of *sd* was observed in ~80% of the F2 flies grown at 29°C, and it could be transmitted

with high efficiency also to the F3 generation (Fig. 6C). In this case, no inheritance of the *sd* derepressed state was observed through the male germ line. However, one should note that *Fab-7* does not significantly pair with the BX-C in males, and this is reflected in lack of silencing of the *sd* gene. Thus, males might be considered as neutral with respect to meiotic inheritance in this line.

Transmission of the derepressed state to a large majority of the progeny in the Fab-X line enabled us to compare the nuclear organization of the *sd* and BX-C loci in derepressed F3 female embryos with control Fab-X-repressed female embryos. Remarkably, long-range pairing of the two loci was reduced to background levels in the derepressed F3 progeny, although both the transgene, as well as the endogenous *Fab-7* copies were now present in the genome (Fig. 6D,E). Therefore, meiotic inheritance of *sd* derepression is linked to loss of *Fab-7* interchromosomal association. These data strongly suggest that long-distance pairing interactions mediated by the Polycomb pathway are a heritable feature of cell division.

Discussion

The results presented here show that a CMM element of 3.6 kb can mediate long-distance associations between distant chromosomal regions in embryonic nuclei. These interactions depend strongly on chromatin components of the PcG and on DNA sequence homology. Importantly, when disrupted in one generation, these pairing interactions are inefficiently re-established even upon reintroduction of sequence homology, and a large portion of the progeny maintains the loci unpaired in subsequent generations. This phenomenon is reversible, suggesting that PcG-mediated chromatin regulation is an equilibrium process that depends on the concentration of regulatory components and on the previous history of the cell. Perturbation of the balance between these regulatory cues might favor establishment as well as inheritance of active or repressed states at target genes. Several cases of inheritance of chromatin composition features have been reported in eukaryotes (Cavalli 2002). The data described here suggest that inheritance of *Fab-7* regulatory states depends not only on chromatin components, but also on nuclear compartmentalization of chromosomal domains.

Nuclear compartmentalization of PcG target genes

PcG proteins have been implicated previously in phenomena involving long-distance interactions among independent loci. Phenotypic interactions were documented both at transgenes containing CMM elements (Sigrist and Pirrotta 1997; Muller et al. 1999) as well as in the phenomenon of cosuppression (Pal-Bhadra et al. 1997). In this last case, PcG proteins as well as mechanisms of RNA-dependent posttranscriptional gene silencing were shown to be involved (Pal-Bhadra et al. 2002). However, whether direct long-distance associations occur in these cases is presently unknown. On the

other hand, long-distance pairing was observed previously in up to 30% of embryonic nuclei containing a euchromatic translocation of a region of ~900 kb, spanning the BX-C and flanking genes from chromosome 3R to chromosome X (Gemkow et al. 1998). Although the DNA sequence determinants and the proteins responsible for pairing in this large chromosomal region were not identified, several CMM, including *Fab-7*, are present in the BX-C and could contribute to this interaction. On the basis of our results, we suggest that PcG proteins may mediate long-range pairing interactions in the case of *Fab-7* as well as in transgenes containing other CMM and in some cases of cosuppression and silencing of repetitive DNA elements.

Long-distance associations may not only involve transgenes, but also natural genes regulated by the Polycomb pathway. PcG proteins are distributed in specific nuclear compartments that have been termed PcG bodies (Buchenau et al. 1998; Saurin et al. 1998). Although the significance of these bodies is presently unclear, we speculate that endogenous PcG target genes may undergo physical associations at nuclear PcG bodies dedicated to their regulation. Compartmentalization of PcG target genes may not be required for primary recruitment of PcG complexes, but it may rather stabilize PcG and trxG-mediated gene regulation. This phenomenon may not be unique in eukaryotic nuclei, as evidence for gene clustering at specific intranuclear organelles was found in vertebrates (for review, see Parada and Misteli 2002). These clustering phenomena were suggested to involve positioning of genes coregulated by the same set of proteins in the same nuclear compartments. PcG proteins may represent one class of factors acting in this manner.

A hint for involvement of the *Fab-7* element in heterologous associations at PcG bodies comes from experiments showing that in Fab-X, *Fab-7¹* larvae, the *Fab-7* transgene pairs with the BX-C locus to some extent, even in the absence of the endogenous *Fab-7*. This suggests that in the absence of sequence homology, *Fab-7* may interact with other CMM present in the BX-C, albeit more weakly. An increase in *Fab-7*-dependent *sd* silencing was detected in the presence of a transgene containing the *Mcp* sequence from the BX-C, suggesting that these two elements may be able to interact.

Molecular mechanisms underlying long-range pairing interactions

How is pairing achieved? First, homologous CMM must come in physical proximity. This may depend on constrained brownian motion of chromosomal territories (Marshall et al. 1997). However, other processes may help this long-distance search. In particular, it may be postulated that genes containing CMM localize to PcG bodies (Saurin et al. 1998). We speculate that these bodies might not be immobile, but, as in the case of splicing speckles (Misteli et al. 1997), they may rather undergo occasional movements, splitting, and mergers, although perhaps with different kinetics. Genes localized within these bodies may reside there for a certain time and then

leave one PcG body to incorporate another one (Fig. 7). Such a dynamic behavior may allow PcG target genes to explore part of the nucleus, but would, at the same time, allow them to stay in the vicinity of other PcG target genes and prevent them from diffusing away randomly in the nucleoplasm. This may increase the probability for a CMM to explore contacts with other CMM.

Once proximity is established, strong association might be established by regulatory components of CMM chromatin. The identification of a 3.6-kb DNA sequence as a sufficient region of homology to induce long-range physical associations will allow, for the first time, to dissect DNA sequences and chromatin factors responsible for pairing at high resolution. The 3.6-kb *Fab-7* element contains a chromatin boundary that can attenuate enhancer–promoter communications (Hagstrom et al. 1996; Zhou et al. 1996; Mihaly et al. 1997), and a PRE (Hagstrom et al. 1997). GAGA factor binds to both the PRE and the boundary region of *Fab-7* (Cavalli and Paro 1998). Moreover, this protein is able to bind cooperatively to DNA to form oligomers, bringing distant DNA sequences close together (Mahmoudi et al. 2002). Thus, GAGA factor-binding sites may be partly responsible for long-range interactions. Similarly, putative binding sites for Zeste, a protein that mediates *trans*-sensing phenomena (Pirrotta and Rastelli 1994), are also present in *Fab-7*, and they may contribute to pairing. Other chromatin components described previously to act at this element, such as proteins of the *trxG* (Orlando et al. 1998), chromatin condensation proteins, and DNA topoisomerase II (Lupo et al. 2001) may also be involved in pairing of *Fab-7*.

However, all of these proteins associate also with other CMM in the genome. How do they distinguish between DNA sequence homologous and nonhomologous CMM? One possibility is that chromatin regulation and the DNA sequence determine a specific array of proteins and of histone modifications associated with it. For a given locus, this may result in the formation of a unique order of chromatin tags that can only be found at

loci sharing strong sequence homology. Some of these components may undergo dimerization or oligomerization, leading to specific contacts that may maintain homologous chromatin stably associated. Similar contacts may also be involved in chromosome pairing in somatic cells or during meiosis (Cook 1997).

Meiotic inheritance of chromosomal architecture

A remarkable finding involving long-distance pairing of the *Fab-7* CMM is transmission through meiosis. What could be the role of this meiotic inheritance of chromatin states? This is particularly intriguing in the case of a CMM regulating a homeotic gene, as expression of homeotic genes must be reset at every generation in order to establish appropriate gene expression patterns along the anteroposterior embryonic axis. However, meiotic inheritance was reported previously to involve a phenotype associated with a chromosomal rearrangement at the BX-C locus, although no molecular determinant for this phenomenon could be found (Kuhn and Packert 1988). In *Caenorhabditis elegans*, PcG proteins establish a germ-line-specific gene silencing that is heritable through meiosis (for review, see Pirrotta 2002). One possible way in which meiotic inheritance could be important in *Drosophila* homeotic gene regulation is to maintain a default silenced state during early embryogenesis. At the onset of homeotic gene transcription, spatial-specific transcriptional repressors maintain homeotic genes repressed outside of the appropriate expression domains. Maintenance of repression is crucial, as failure could cause homeotic transformations. Inheritance of chromatin silencing may stabilize this repression and contribute to developmental homeostasis.

The fact that pairing interactions involving a chromosomal element regulated by PcG/*trxG* proteins are heritable raises the question of how transmission of chromatin architectural features is possible through cell division. Two different, but not mutually exclusive, mechanisms may contribute to explain this novel form




Figure 7. A PcG body-hopping model for establishment of long-range chromatin-pairing interactions. (A) Nuclei are represented in a Rab1 configuration (Rabl 1885), in which centromeres are assembled near the apical pole of the nucleus, pointing toward the surface of the embryo, whereas telomeres point toward the opposite pole. Two chromosomal arms projecting from centromeric heterochromatin toward the basal pole of the nucleus are shown. PcG target genes are postulated to locate at PcG bodies (in green). Each PcG body may contain one or several of these genes. Two loci containing homologous CMM are depicted in red. CMM located at PcG bodies may thus be constrained, but they may still be capable of exploring the nuclear territory in the neighborhood of their PcG body (dashed circle around the CMM). (B) Chromosome movements may lead to occasional PcG body contacts. During these contacts, CMM may move from a PcG body into another one (the old CMM locations are shown in orange). (C) This step may be repeated several times and may thus allow a CMM to explore significant areas in the cell nucleus, containing preferentially other PcG target genes. (D) This PcG body-hopping process may favor establishment and maintenance of specific interactions between homologous CMM.

of inheritance. Chromosomal contacts may depend on specific, heritable chromatin marks deposited in *cis* on the templates undergoing pairing. These marks may allow contacts to re-establish after they are broken during chromosome metabolism at mitosis and meiosis. Perturbation of these marks may change chromatin at *Fab-7* and make it incapable of establishing pairing interactions with its homolog sequence in another chromosome. Chromatin marks, such as histone acetylation, histone methylation, and association of Swi6 protein to the mating type locus in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* were shown previously to be heritable through meiosis (Ekwall et al. 1997; Nakayama et al. 2000). Our initial chromatin characterization in the presence or absence of *Fab-7*-pairing interactions showed recruitment of PcG proteins to the transgene and to the region surrounding its site of insertion in both cases, and did not reveal significant changes in PcG protein binding or in histone modifications.

A second mechanism for inheritance of long-range chromosomal interactions may depend on stable transmission of the relative chromosome positions and specific gene contacts through cell division. It was shown recently that global chromosome positioning can be transmitted in mammalian cells through the whole-cell cycle and mitosis (Gehrlich et al. 2003), although the fidelity of mitotic transmission may depend on cell type (Walter et al. 2003). Our data show that long-distance pairing is dynamic during development; it has a relatively weak frequency during embryonic stages, and it increases at larval stages. This dynamics may depend on the increased length of the cell cycle or on more robust PcG silencing in larvae, and it suggests that the actual physical contact between chromosomes may be lost, but regulation of nuclear compartmentalization may favor re-establishment of long-distance pairing at each cell generation.

In summary, the present study suggests that features of the nuclear architecture of PcG target genes can be transmitted through cell division. We propose that this may represent a novel form of epigenetic inheritance that may be used to convey cellular memory of chromatin states in eukaryotic organisms.

Materials and methods

Fly stocks and handling

Flies were raised in standard corn meal yeast extract medium. The Oregon-R w^{1118} line was obtained from R. Paro (ZMBH, University of Heidelberg, Germany). Transgenic lines, obtained by injection of Oregon-R w^{1118} embryos, are described in Table 1. Genetic homogenization of the *Fab-X* and w^{1118} ; *Fab-7¹* lines in Canton-S background was performed by seven rounds of crossing of *Fab-X* and w^{1118} ; *Fab-7¹* heterozygous females (5–6 in each cross) with w^{1118} Canton-S males [kindly provided by J.M. Dura (Institute of Human Genetics, CNRS, Montpellier, France)]. By crossing these homogenized lines, a homogenized *Fab-X*; *Fab-7¹* line was obtained. Mutant alleles used to test PcG and *trxG* effects are as follows: *Pc^{l10}*, *Pc^{XL5}*, *ph⁴¹⁰*, *Psc¹*, and *trx^{E2}*. The *sd^{ETX4}* allele was described in Campbell et al. (1992).

A stock carrying the KrGFP-CyO balancer chromosome (Casso et al. 2000) was used for selection of homozygous *Pc^{l10}* mutants. Staged eggs were collected on agar plates with standard vinegar/fresh yeast medium. Eye pigment determination was done as described previously (Reuter and Wolff 1981). To map the insertion site of transgenes, we performed standard PCR rescue experiments, followed by DNA sequencing. The p5F24 construct in *Fab-X* is inserted in arm X at the *sd* locus, at position 98,830 of the GenBank Scaffold AE003500, in plus orientation, at 9,615 bp upstream of the *sd* transcription start site. DNA sequencing revealed that the p5F24 construct is inserted as an almost perfect tandem duplication in this line. The first p5F24 copy is complete, whereas the second copy (more proximal to *sd*) carries a deletion corresponding to nucleotides 100,229–101,165 of the AE003715 Scaffold. The p5F3 construct in *Fab-2L* is inserted in arm 2L at position 34,662 of the AE003661 Scaffold.

In situ mRNA hybridization

To produce a probe for *sd*, the 1.7-kb *XhoI/EcoRI* C-terminal fragment of the E21 *sd* cDNA (Campbell et al. 1992) was cloned into pBKS vector. Anti-sense RNA was produced by transcription from the T7 promoter. Sense RNA, used as a negative control for staining, was obtained by transcription from the T3 promoter. *In situ* hybridization on embryos and larvae were performed by a standard procedure (Tautz and Pfeifle 1989). To sex embryos after *in situ* hybridization, embryos were dehydrated in ethanol and rehydrated to proceed with immunostaining using the Male-Sex-Lethal-1 (MSL-1) antibody at a 1:500 dilution. MSL-1 is a member of the dosage compensation complex and is much more abundant in males than in females. The immunochemical reaction, performed with ABC elite kit (Vector laboratories) according to manufacturer's instructions, was stopped rapidly after 5 min, allowing brown staining in males to develop, whereas females were not stained. Embryos were mounted in a 1:1 mixture of glycerol and ethanol.

Two-color FISH

For the *sd* locus, we generated a probe of 25.5 kb made from seven genomic fragments, which were cloned into pBKS vector from λ clones of the *sd* region. For the BX-C locus, we generated a probe of 13.6 kb made from plasmids pBX-5989 and pBX-7652 (Strutt et al. 1997), which are located 23.5 and 37.6 kb from *Fab-7*, respectively. To enhance signals of the BX-C locus in larval discs, we generated a probe of 19.4 kb by adding fragments from plasmids pBX-1894 and pBX-3870 (Strutt et al. 1997), which are located 66.3 and 73.7 kb from *Fab-7*, respectively. For the *38F* locus, five genomic PCR fragments of 1.2 kb and spaced by 2 kb from each other, were pooled for labeling. Probes were labeled by nick translation with biotin-14-dATP (Invitrogen life technologies) or digoxigenin-11-dUTP (Roche Diagnosis) according to manufacturer's instructions. Hybridization of each probe to the correct locus was checked by FISH in polytene chromosomes. Detailed coordinates of fragments used to produce probes are available upon request. FISH on whole-mount embryos was performed as described previously (Gemkow et al. 1996), with minor modifications as follows: for hybridization, the denatured probes were resuspended in 2× SSC, 10% dextran sulfate, 50% formamide, and 0.05% Salmon Sperm DNA hybridization buffer. Hybridization and post-hybridization washes were performed as in Gemkow et al. (1996), then embryos were blocked in PBS, 0.3% Triton, 1% BSA, 10% Donkey Serum for 2 h at room temperature. To detect the labeled probes, embryos were first incubated in 0.5 mL blocking buffer with the anti-

digoxigenin-Rhodamine (Roche Diagnosis) at a 1:550 dilution, 1 h at room temperature, then with the anti-biotin-FITC (Vector Laboratories) diluted 1:45 for 1 h. DNA was counterstained with DAPI, and embryos were mounted in Prolong Antifade medium (Molecular Probes). Larval imaginal discs were fixed in PBS, 0.1% Tween20, 4% formaldehyde for 20 min, and submitted to the same FISH protocol as embryos.

Microscopy and image analysis

Three-dimensional images were acquired with a cooled CCD camera (Micromax YHS 1300, Roper Scientific) mounted on a DMRXA Leica microscope. Images were acquired with a 100× Plan/Apo Objective (NA 1.4) mounted on a piezo electric (Roper Scientific). Optical sections were collected at 0.5- μ m intervals along the z-axis. Distance measurements were performed in raw images and in images filtered using the nearest neighbor algorithm of Metamorph software (Universal Imaging Corporation). For each data set, statistical analysis was performed with stacks collected from 4–6 embryos or 3–4 wing imaginal larval discs, and FISH signals were analyzed in 50–80 nuclei per embryo or larval disc. The distance between the visually determined center of mass of dots corresponding to DNA hybridization signals were determined using the x,y,z-measured distance module of the Metamorph software. The average nuclear radius was 4.8 μ m \pm 0.3 μ m, indicating that the embryonic nuclei analyzed were homogeneous in size. Distances between loci were therefore directly expressed in micrometers. A small fraction of nuclei, strongly deviating from overall circular shape, were not included in the analysis. Loci were considered as paired when the two signals overlapped. This is equivalent to a distance between the two centers of the signals lower than 0.3 μ m. Due to incomplete somatic pairing in embryos, most, but not all nuclei contained one hybridization signal with each probe. Female embryos sometimes had nuclei with two unpaired *sd* spots, and could be distinguished easily from males that had one spot for the *sd* locus. This was confirmed by two-color FISH using the *sd* probe and a Y chromosome-specific probe from the *Suppressor of Stellate* locus. For figure display, single slices from z-stacks were deconvolved with Huygens MLE single tif procedure (Scientific Volume Imaging).

Acknowledgments

We thank R. Paro, F. Karch, J.M. Dura, and M. Muller for fly lines; A. Zider for the *sd* reagents; and M. Kuroda for MSL-1 antibody. We acknowledge R. Pepperkok (European Advanced Light Microscopy Facility), B. Geiger (Weizmann Institute of Science), P. Travo (CRBM), and the IFR N.3 Imaging Facility for help with imaging techniques. We thank P. Atger for artwork. F.B. was supported by the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM), C.G. is supported by the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur, and S.L. by the Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer (ARC) and by CNRS. G.C. was supported by grants of the CNRS, the Human Frontier Science Program Organization, the FRM, and the ARC.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

Alkema, M.J., Bronk, M., Verhoeven, E., Otte, A., van't Veer, L.J., Berns, A., and van Lohuizen, M. 1997. Identification of

- Bmi1*-interacting proteins as constituents of a multimeric mammalian *Polycomb* complex. *Genes & Dev.* **11**: 226–240.
- Beisel, C., Imhof, A., Greene, J., Kremmer, E., and Sauer, F. 2002. Histone methylation by the *Drosophila* epigenetic transcriptional regulator Ash1. *Nature* **419**: 857–862.
- Breiling, A., Turner, B.M., Bianchi, M.E., and Orlando, V. 2001. General transcription factors bind promoters repressed by Polycomb group proteins. *Nature* **412**: 651–655.
- Brown, K.E., Guest, S.S., Smale, S.T., Hahm, K., Merckenschlager, M., and Fisher, A.G. 1997. Association of transcriptionally silent genes with Ikaros complexes at centromeric heterochromatin. *Cell* **91**: 845–854.
- Buchenau, P., Hodgson, J., Strutt, H., and Arndt-Jovin, D.J. 1998. The distribution of Polycomb-group proteins during cell division and development in *Drosophila* embryos: Impact on models for silencing. *J. Cell Biol.* **141**: 469–481.
- Campbell, S., Inamdar, M., Rodrigues, V., Raghavan, V., Palazzolo, M., and Chovnik, A. 1992. The *scalloped* gene encodes a novel, evolutionarily conserved transcription factor required for sensory organ differentiation in *Drosophila*. *Genes & Dev.* **6**: 367–379.
- Casso, D., Ramirez-Weber, F., and Kornberg, T.B. 2000. GFP-tagged balancer chromosomes for *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mech Dev* **91**: 451–454.
- Cavalli, G. 2002. Chromatin as a eukaryotic template of genetic information. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **14**: 269–278.
- Cavalli, G. and Paro, R. 1998. The *Drosophila Fab-7* chromosomal element conveys epigenetic inheritance during mitosis and meiosis. *Cell* **93**: 505–518.
- . 1999. Epigenetic inheritance of active chromatin after removal of the main transactivator. *Science* **286**: 955–958.
- Chan, C.S., Rastelli, L., and Pirrotta, V. 1994. A *Polycomb* response element in the *Ubx* gene that determines an epigenetically inherited state of repression. *EMBO J.* **13**: 2553–2564.
- Chubb, J.R. and Bickmore, W.A. 2003. Considering nuclear compartmentalization in the light of nuclear dynamics. *Cell* **112**: 403–406.
- Cook, P.R. 1997. The transcriptional basis of chromosome pairing. *J. Cell. Sci.* **110**: 1033–1040.
- Cremer, T. and Cremer, C. 2001. Chromosome territories, nuclear architecture and gene regulation in mammalian cells. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2**: 292–301.
- Csink, A.K. and Henikoff, S. 1996. Genetic modification of heterochromatic association and nuclear organization in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **381**: 529–531.
- Demburg, A.F., Broman, K.W., Fung, J.C., Marshall, W.F., Phillips, J., Agard, D.A., and Sedat, J.W. 1996. Perturbation of nuclear architecture by long-distance chromosome interactions. *Cell* **85**: 745–759.
- Ekwall, K., Olsson, T., Turner, B.M., Cranston, G., and Allshire, R.C. 1997. Transient inhibition of histone deacetylation alters the structural and functional imprint at fission yeast centromeres. *Cell* **91**: 1021–1032.
- Fauvarque, M.O. and Dura, J.M. 1993. *polyhomeotic* regulatory sequences induce developmental regulator-dependent variegation and targeted P-element insertions in *Drosophila*. *Genes & Dev.* **7**: 1508–1520.
- Francastel, C., Walters, M.C., Groudine, M., and Martin, D.I. 1999. A functional enhancer suppresses silencing of a transgene and prevents its localization close to centromeric heterochromatin. *Cell* **99**: 259–269.
- Gasser, S.M. 2001. Positions of potential: Nuclear organization and gene expression. *Cell* **104**: 639–642.
- Gehrlich, D., Beaudouin, J., Kalbfuss, B., Daigle, N., Eils, R., and Ellenberg, J. 2003. Global chromosome positions are transmitted through mitosis in mammalian cells. *Cell* **112**: 751–764.

- Gemkow, M.J., Buchenau, P., and Arndt-Jovin, D.J. 1996. FISH in whole-mount *Drosophila* embryos. RNA: Activation of a transcriptional locus, DNA: Gene architecture and expression. *Bioimaging* **4**: 107–120.
- Gemkow, M.J., Verveer, P.J., and Arndt-Jovin, D.J. 1998. Homologous association of the Bithorax-Complex during embryogenesis: Consequences for transvection in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Development* **125**: 4541–4552.
- Gyurkovics, H., Gausz, J., Kummer, J., and Karch, F. 1990. A new homeotic mutation in the *Drosophila* bithorax complex removes a boundary separating two domains of regulation. *EMBO J.* **9**: 2579–2585.
- Hagstrom, K., Müller, M., and Schedl, P. 1996. *Fab-7* functions as a chromatin domain boundary to ensure proper segment specification by the *Drosophila* bithorax complex. *Genes & Dev.* **10**: 3202–3215.
- . 1997. A *Polycomb* and GAGA dependent silencer adjoins the *Fab-7* boundary in the *Drosophila* bithorax complex. *Genetics* **146**: 1365–1380.
- Hannon, G.J. 2002. RNA interference. *Nature* **418**: 244–251.
- Henikoff, S. and Comai, L. 1998. Trans-sensing effects: The ups and downs of being together. *Cell* **93**: 329–332.
- Kassis, J.A. 2002. Pairing-sensitive silencing, polycomb group response elements, and transposon homing in *Drosophila*. *Adv. Genet.* **46**: 421–438.
- Kuhn, D.T. and Packert, G. 1988. Paternal imprinting of inversion *Uab1* causes homeotic transformations in *Drosophila*. *Genetics* **118**: 103–107.
- Lundgren, M., Chow, C.M., Sabbattini, P., Georgiou, A., Minaee, S., and Dillon, N. 2000. Transcription factor dosage affects changes in higher order chromatin structure associated with activation of a heterochromatic gene. *Cell* **103**: 733–743.
- Lupo, R., Breiling, A., Bianchi, M.E., and Orlando, V. 2001. *Drosophila* chromosome condensation proteins Topoisomerase II and Barren colocalize with Polycomb and maintain *Fab-7* PRE silencing. *Mol. Cell* **7**: 127–136.
- Mahmoudi, T., Katsani, K.R., and Verrijzer, C.P. 2002. GAGA can mediate enhancer function in trans by linking two separate DNA molecules. *EMBO J.* **21**: 1775–1781.
- Marshall, W.F., Straight, A., Marko, J.F., Swedlow, J., Dernburg, A., Belmont, A., Murray, A.W., Agard, D.A., and Sedat, J.W. 1997. Interphase chromosomes undergo constrained diffusional motion in living cells. *Curr. Biol.* **7**: 930–939.
- Maurange, C. and Paro, R. 2002. A cellular memory module conveys epigenetic inheritance of hedgehog expression during *Drosophila* wing imaginal disc development. *Genes & Dev.* **16**: 2672–2683.
- Mihaly, J., Hogga, I., Gausz, J., Gyurkovics, H., and Karch, F. 1997. In situ dissection of the *Fab-7* region of the bithorax complex into a chromatin domain boundary and a *Polycomb*-response element. *Development* **124**: 1809–1820.
- Misteli, T., Caceres, J.F., and Spector, D.L. 1997. The dynamics of a pre-mRNA splicing factor in living cells. *Nature* **387**: 523–527.
- Muller, M., Hagstrom, K., Gyurkovics, H., Pirrotta, V., and Schedl, P. 1999. The *mcp* element from the *Drosophila melanogaster* bithorax complex mediates long-distance regulatory interactions. *Genetics* **153**: 1333–1356.
- Nakayama, J., Klar, A.J., and Grewal, S.I. 2000. A chromodomain protein, Swi6, performs imprinting functions in fission yeast during mitosis and meiosis. *Cell* **101**: 307–317.
- Orlando, V. 2003. Polycomb, epigenomes, and control of cell identity. *Cell* **112**: 599–606.
- Orlando, V., Jane, E.P., Chinwalla, V., Harte, P.J., and Paro, R. 1998. Binding of trithorax and Polycomb proteins to the bithorax complex: Dynamic changes during early *Drosophila* embryogenesis. *EMBO J.* **17**: 5141–5150.
- Pal-Bhadra, M., Bhadra, U., and Birchler, J.A. 1997. Cosuppression in *Drosophila*: Gene silencing of Alcohol dehydrogenase by white-Adh transgenes is *Polycomb* dependent. *Cell* **90**: 479–490.
- . 2002. RNAi related mechanisms affect both transcriptional and posttranscriptional transgene silencing in *Drosophila*. *Mol Cell* **9**: 315–327.
- Parada, L. and Misteli, T. 2002. Chromosome positioning in the interphase nucleus. *Trends Cell. Biol.* **12**: 425–432.
- Petruk, S., Sedkov, Y., Smith, S., Tillib, S., Kraevski, V., Nakamura, T., Canaani, E., Croce, C.M., and Mazo, A. 2001. Trithorax and dCBP acting in a complex to maintain expression of a homeotic gene. *Science* **294**: 1331–1334.
- Pirrotta, V. 2002. Silence in the germ. *Cell* **110**: 661–664.
- Pirrotta, V. and Rastelli, L. 1994. white gene expression, repressive chromatin domains and homeotic gene regulation in *Drosophila*. *BioEssays* **16**: 549–556.
- Rabl, C. 1885. Über Zellteilung. In *Morphologisches Jahrbuch* (ed. C. Gegenbauer), pp. 214–330. Willhelm Engelmann, Leipzig, Germany.
- Rank, G., Prestel, M., and Paro, R. 2002. Transcription through intergenic chromosomal memory elements of the *Drosophila* bithorax complex correlates with an epigenetic switch. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **22**: 8026–8034.
- Reuter, G. and Wolff, I. 1981. Isolation of dominant suppressor mutations for position-effect variegation in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* **182**: 516–519.
- Saurin, A.J., Shiels, C., Williamson, J., Satijn, D.P., Otte, A.P., Sheer, D., and Freemont, P.S. 1998. The human polycomb group complex associates with pericentromeric heterochromatin to form a novel nuclear domain. *J. Cell Biol.* **142**: 887–898.
- Saurin, A.J., Shao, Z., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P., and Kingston, R.E. 2001. A *Drosophila* polycomb group complex includes Zeste and dTAFII proteins. *Nature* **412**: 655–660.
- Sigrist, C.J. and Pirrotta, V. 1997. Chromatin insulator elements block the silencing of a target gene by the *Drosophila* polycomb response element (PRE) but allow trans interactions between PREs on different chromosomes. *Genetics* **147**: 209–221.
- Strutt, H., Cavalli, G., and Paro, R. 1997. Co-localization of *Polycomb* protein and GAGA factor on regulatory elements responsible for the maintenance of homeotic gene expression. *EMBO J.* **16**: 3621–3632.
- Tautz, D. and Pfeifle, C. 1989. A non-radioactive method for the localisation of specific RNAs in *Drosophila* embryos reveals translational control of the segmentation gene *hunchback*. *Chromosoma* **98**: 81–85.
- Tillib, S., Petruk, S., Sedkov, Y., Kuzin, A., Fujioka, M., Goto, T., and Mazo, A. 1999. Trithorax- and Polycomb-group response elements within an Ultrabithorax transcription maintenance unit consist of closely situated but separable sequences. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **19**: 5189–5202.
- Walter, J., Schermelleh, L., Cremer, M., Tashiro, S., and Cremer, T. 2003. Chromosome order in HeLa cells changes during mitosis and early G1, but is stably maintained during subsequent interphase stages. *J. Cell. Biol.* **160**: 685–697.
- Zhou, J., Barolo, S., Szymanski, P., and Levine, M. 1996. The *Fab-7* element of the bithorax complex attenuates enhancer-promoter interactions in the *Drosophila* embryo. *Genes & Dev.* **10**: 3195–3201.
- Zink, D. and Paro, R. 1995. *Drosophila* Polycomb-group regulated chromatin inhibits the accessibility of a *trans*-activator to its target DNA. *EMBO J.* **14**: 5660–5671.